HiPEAC 2024



ApHMM

Accelerating Profile Hidden Markov Models for Fast and Energy-Efficient Genome Analysis

Can Firtina

<u>canfirtina@gmail.com</u> <u>https://cfirtina.com</u>

Kamlesh Pillai, Gurpreet S. Kalsi, Bharathwaj Suresh, Damla Senol Cali, Jeremie S. Kim, Taha Shahroodi, Meryem Banu Cavlak, Joël Lindegger, Mohammed Alser, Juan Gómez Luna, Sreenivas Subramoney, Onur Mutlu



Executive Summary

Motivation: Graph structures such as **profile Hidden Markov Models (pHMMs)** are commonly used to accurately analyze biological sequences

Problem: The parameters used in pHMMs are mainly trained and used with a **computationally intensive Baum-Welch algorithm**, causing major performance and energy overhead for many genomics workloads

Goal: Enable rapid, power-efficient, and flexible use of pHMMs for genomics workloads

ApHMM: the first flexible and hardware-software accelerator for pHMMs that can

- 1) Substantially reduce unnecessary data storage, data movement, and computations by effectively co-designing hardware and software together
- 2) Provide a flexible design to support several genomics workloads that use pHMMs

Key Results: Our ASIC implementation compared to CPU, GPU, and FPGA baselines across 3 workloads

- 15.55×-260.03×, 1.83×-5.34×, and 27.97× better performance
- Up to 2622.94× reduction in energy consumption

SAFARI

https://github.com/CMU-SAFARI/ApHMM-GPU



Background & Problem

ApHMM

Evaluation

Conclusion

Genome Analysis – Why?

• Fast and accurate genome analysis is important for:



Understanding genetic variations, species, and evolution



Surveillance of **disease outbreaks**



Predicting the **presence of pathogens** in an environment



Personalized medicine

Background: Genome Analysis – How?

- Genome sequencing machines can quickly convert biological molecules
 - Into sequences of characters for analysis





Sequence Comparison is Essential

- Analyze sequences by accurately and quickly comparing them
 - To each other
 - To a **template sequence** representative of a species, a certain group...



 Essential to understand functionality of a sequence, mutations, diseases...

Graphs for Sequence Comparisons

- Graphs are commonly used in sequence comparisons
 - Can avoid redundant comparisons and storage
 - Provides rich information on expected variations between sequences



- Profile Hidden Markov Models (pHMMs) are powerful and common graph structures for sequence comparison
 - Goal: Identify variations between sequences probabilistically
 - Each state outputs a biological character (emission) when visited
 - States are visited via transitions (edges) based on observed variations
 - Variations: No variation

Expected sequence: ACTT Observed Sequence #1: ACTT (No variation)



- Profile Hidden Markov Models (pHMMs) are powerful and common graph structures for sequence comparison
 - Goal: Identify variations between sequences probabilistically
 - Each state outputs a biological character (emission) when visited
 - States are visited via transitions (edges) based on observed variations
 - Variations: No variation, Substitutions

Expected sequence: ACTT

Observed Sequence #2: ACTG (Substitutions)



- Profile Hidden Markov Models (pHMMs) are powerful and common graph structures for sequence comparison
 - Goal: Identify variations between sequences probabilistically
 - Each state outputs a biological character (emission) when visited
 - States are visited via transitions (edges) based on observed variations
 - Variations: No variation, Substitutions, Insertions

Expected sequence: ACTT Observed Sequence #3: AGGGCTT (I: Insertions)



- Profile Hidden Markov Models (pHMMs) are powerful and common graph structures for sequence comparison
 - Goal: Identify variations between sequences probabilistically
 - Each state outputs a biological character (emission) when visited
 - States are visited via transitions (edges) based on observed variations
 - Variations: No variation, Substitutions, Insertions, Deletions

Expected sequence: ACTT

Observed Sequence #4: ATT (D: Deletions)



- Profile Hidden Markov Models (pHMMs) are powerful and common graph structures for sequence comparison
 - Goal: Identify variations between sequences probabilistically
 - Each **state** outputs a biological character (**emission**) when visited
 - States are visited via transitions (edges) based on observed variations
 - Variations: No variation, Substitutions, Insertions, Deletions

Observed Sequence #1: ACTT Observed Sequence #2: ACTG Observed Sequence #3: AGGGCTT Observed Sequence #4: ATT



Probabilities in pHMMs

 Profile Hidden Markov Models (pHMMs) are powerful and common graph structures for sequence comparison
 Goal: Identify variations between sequences probabilistically



Utilizing Probabilities in pHMMs

- The Baum-Welch algorithm is commonly used with pHMMs
 - For both **inference and training** by effectively utilizing the probabilities
- Inference: Identifying the variations between sequences
- Training: Maximizing parameters to observe certain variations



Updating Transition Probabilities

$$\alpha_{ij}^* = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n_S - 1} \alpha_{ij} e_{S[t+1]}(v_j) F_t(i) B_{t+1}(j)}{\sum_{t=1}^{n_S - 1} \sum_{x \in V} \alpha_{ix} e_{S[t+1]}(v_x) F_t(i) B_{t+1}(x)}$$

SAFAR



Updating Emission Probabilities

$$e_X^*(v_i) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n_S} F_t(i)B_t(i)[S[t] = X]}{\sum_{t=1}^{n_S} F_t(i)B_t(i)}$$

Utilizing Probabilities in pHMMs

- The Baum-Welch algorithm is commonly used with pHMMs
 - For both **inference and training** by effectively utilizing the probabilities
- Inference: Identifying the variations between sequences
- Training: Maximizing parameters to observe certain variations



Utilizing Probabilities in pHMMs

- The Baum-Welch algorithm is commonly used with pHMMs
 - For both **inference and training** by effectively utilizing the probabilities
- Inference: Identifying the variations between sequences
- Training: Maximizing parameters to observe certain variations



Forward & Backward Calculations

• A dynamic programming approach

- Calculate the 'possibility' of visiting each state in a pHMM
- Given an observed sequence (from both directions of the sequence)

Observed Sequence: ATGT



Forward Calculations



Backward Calculations

Inference using pHMMs

- Goal: Identifying the variations between sequences
 - Inference by using decoding algorithms (e.g., the Viterbi Algorithm)



Training using pHMMs

- Goal: Maximizing parameters to observe certain variations
 - Training using the parameter updating steps in the Baum-Welch algorithm



pHMMs in Genomics Workloads

• **pHMMs** are commonly used in many genomics applications



The Baum-Welch Algorithm is Costly

- The Baum-Welch algorithm causes a major computational overhead in genomics workloads
 - Taking up from **46% to 99% of the overall execution time**
 - Computationally complex dynamic programming calculations
 - Compute intensive many floating-point operations



Existing Solutions are Ineffective

 pHMMs are specialized version of Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) with fixed patterns on states and transitions



Generic HMM accelerators cannot exploit the fixed data dependency pattern of pHMMs

Existing Solutions are Inflexible

pHMM requirements can change based on the application
 Different pHMM designs:





- **Different alphabet sizes**: DNA (4 letters), protein (20 letters)

Lack of **flexible mechanisms** to handle different design choices



Existing Solutions are Inefficient

- Suboptimal vectorization of SIMD-based solutions on CPUs and GPUs
 - High warp divergence, branching, low port utilization...
- A significant portion of the floating-point operations in dynamic programming is redundant
 - Same multiplications results can redundantly be computed during training
 - Unnecessary data movements

Existing solutions provide suboptimal solutions due to inefficient hardware or software design

The Problem

The Baum-Welch algorithm causes major performance overhead in important genomics applications

Same multiplications appear repeatedly due to constant values during

Hardware- or software-only solutions are not sufficient for effectively accelerating pHMMs





Background & Problem

ApHMM

Evaluation

Conclusion

Goal

Enable **rapid**, **power-efficient**, **and flexible** use of pHMMs when using the Baum-Welch algorithm





The first flexible hardware-software co-designed acceleration framework that can significantly reduce the computational overhead of the Baum-Welch algorithm for pHMMs

ApHMM-GPU: The first GPU implementation of the Baum-Welch algorithm for pHMMs



Key Software & Hardware Optimizations

• Minimize redundant data storage by efficient pipelining

- Reduce unnecessary computations with quick filtering
 SW
- Avoid repeated operations by utilizing lookup tables

• Reduce data movement by exploiting fixed data pattern

HW

• Flexible and efficient control logic and hardware design

Key Software & Hardware Optimizations

• Minimize redundant data storage by efficient pipelining

- Reduce unnecessary computations with quick filtering
 SW
- Avoid repeated operations by utilizing lookup tables



- Observation: Filling the entire Backward table is unnecessary
 - Pipelining opportunities to directly consume a Backward value



- Observation: Filling the entire Backward table is unnecessary
 - Pipelining opportunities to directly consume a Backward value



- **Observation:** Filling the entire Backward table is unnecessary
 - Pipelining opportunities to directly consume a Backward value
 - Partial compute approach: Only a single row should be fully stored



- Observation: Filling the entire Backward table is unnecessary
 - Pipelining opportunities to directly consume a Backward value
 - Partial compute approach: Only a single row should be fully stored



- Observation: Filling the entire Backward table is unnecessary
 - Pipelining opportunities to directly consume a Backward value
 - Partial compute approach: Only a single row should be fully stored
 - Reduces the storage requirements during training



SW: Reducing Unnecessary Computations

- **Observation:** 'Negligible' cells can be ignored without significantly reducing overall accuracy
 - Filtering: Non-negligible states are identified by sorting
 - **Sorting** to find **exactly** *n* states with **largest** Forward or Backward values



- Sorting is complex to implement in hardware (and costly)
 - Can we filter without sorting?

SW: Reducing Unnecessary Computations

• **Observation:** 'Negligible' cells can be ignored without significantly reducing overall accuracy

- **Goal:** Find **at least** *n* states with largest Forward and Backward values
- Histogram-based filtering: Placing the states into buckets corresponding to a range of values
- Filter is full as soon we find at least n states (e.g., n = 10)



SW: Avoiding Repeated Operations

- Observation: Same multiplications are redundantly performed
 - Same default values are used for each possible connection in pHMMs
 - Fixed connection patterns generate a fixed set of multiplication results



- Goal: Avoid redundant computations
 - By enabling efficient reuse of the common multiplications results

SW: Avoiding Repeated Operations

- Observation: Same multiplications are redundantly performed
 - Same default values are used for each possible connection in pHMMs
 - Fixed connection patterns generate a fixed set of multiplication results



- Goal: Avoid redundant computations
 - By enabling efficient reuse of the common multiplications results
 - Lookup tables (LUTs) to efficiently store and use these common results

Key Software & Hardware Optimizations

• Minimize redundant data storage by efficient pipelining

- Reduce unnecessary computations with quick filtering
 SW
- Avoid repeated operations by utilizing lookup tables

Reduce data movement by exploiting fixed data pattern

HW

• Flexible and efficient control logic and hardware design

Overview of ApHMM Design



Flexible and efficient control logic and hardware design

enables opting out from heuristics and supporting different pHMM designs **SAFARI**41

Computing the Baum-Welch in ApHMM



Efficiently exploiting data locality, broadcasting, memoization, streaming, and ✓ pipelining with our SW optimizations for an effective HW-SW co-design SAFARI 42



Background & Problem

ApHMM

Evaluation

Conclusion

Evaluation Methodology

Performance, Area, and Power Analysis:

- Synthesized SystemVerilog Model in a 28nm process @1GHz
- **CPU baseline:** AMD EPYC 7742 @2.26GHz (1, 12, 32 threads)
- GPU baselines: Titan V & A100
- FPGA baseline: FPGA D&C

- Use cases and their software baseline:
 - 1. Error Correction Apollo
 - 2. Protein Family Search HMMER
 - 3. Multiple Sequence Alignment HMMER

Evaluation Methodology

Comparison Points

- CPU: Apollo, HMMER
- GPU: ApHMM-GPU, HMM_cuda
- FPGA: FPGA D&C

Datasets

- Error correction: **Real 10,000 DNA sequences** from Escherichia coli (*E. coli*) with average 5,128 read length
- Protein family search: Entire Pfam database (19,632 pHMMs) and real 214,393 protein sequences from Mitochondrial carrier
- Multiple sequence alignment: Aligning over ~1 million protein sequences from Pfam database

Performance: The Baum-Welch Algorithm



15.55×-260.03×, 1.83×-5.34×, and 27.97× faster than

the CPU, GPU, and FPGA implementations of the Baum-Welch algorithm

GPUs provide better performance for Forward calculations

due to frequent off-chip memory accesses in ApHMM during Forward calculation





Performance: Workload Acceleration



1.29×-59.94×, 1.03×-1.75×, and 1.03×-1.95× better performance

compared to the CPU, GPU, and FPGA baselines

Error correction benefits most from the acceleration

due to frequent and costly training



For the Baum-Welch algorithm: 2474.09× and 896.70×–2622.94×

reduction in energy consumption compared to CPU-1 and GPU implementations

For the workloads: 64.24×, 1.75×, and 1.96× reduction compared to CPU-1



Speedup of Each Optimization

• We analyze the speedup that each optimization provides over the CPU baseline

Optimization	Speedup (×)
Histogram Filter	1.07
LUTs	2.48
Broadcasting and Partial Compute	3.39
Memoization	1.69
Overall	15.20

Broadcasting and partial compute together is only possible

with an efficient HW-SW co-design



Area and Power

• We analyze the **area and power for ApHMM-4** using the Synopsys Design Compiler with a 28nm process @1GHz:

Module Name	Area (mm ²)	Power (mW)
Control Block	0.011	134.4
64 Processing Engines (PEs)	1.333	304.2
64 Update Transitions (UTs)	5.097	0.8
4 Update Emissions (UEs)	0.094	70.4
Overall	6.536	509.8
128 KB L1-Memory	0.632	100

UTs require the largest area due to several complex units

such as multiplexer, division pipeline, and local memory

ApHMM can significantly accelerate pHMMs

with relatively small area and power requirements



More in the Paper

More Results

- Detailed discussion on the results generated per use case
- Justification of the dataset and baseline choices

Details of all mechanisms and configurations

- Details of our design space exploration
- Data distribution and memory layout
- Control and execution flow of ApHMM cores
- Related work discussion (e.g., Pair HMMs vs pHMMs)
- Detailed background on the equations and algorithms

ApHMM

 Can Firtina, Kamlesh Pillai, Gurpreet S. Kalsi, Bharathwaj Suresh, Damla Senol Cali, Jeremie S. Kim, Taha Shahroodi, Meryem Banu Cavlak, Joël Lindegger, Mohammed Alser, Juan Gómez Luna, Sreenivas Subramoney, and Onur Mutlu, "ApHMM: Accelerating Profile Hidden Markov Models for Fast and Energy-Efficient Genome Analysis" ACM TACO, Dec 2023.
 [Online link at ACM TACO] [arXiv preprint]
 [ApHMM Source Code]

ApHMM: Accelerating Profile Hidden Markov Models for Fast and Energy-Efficient Genome Analysis

Just Accepted

Authors: 🜔 Can Firtina, 🌑 Kamlesh Pillai, 🕒 Gurpreet S. Kalsi, 온 Bharathwaj Suresh, 온 Damla Senol Cali,
🕘 Jeremie S. Kim, 😩 Taha Shahroodi, 😩 Meryem Banu Cavlak, 😩 Joël Lindegger, 😩 Mohammed Alser,
Juan Gómez Luna, Sreenivas Subramoney, Onur Mutlu (Less) Authors Info & Claims
ACM Transactions on Architecture and Code Optimization • Accepted on October 2023 • https://doi.org/10.1145/3632950
Published: 28 December 2023 Publication History

ApHMM-GPU Source Code

ApHMM-GPU Public	🖈 Edit Pins 👻	⊙ Unwatch 5 👻	⁹ g Fork 0 ▼ † Starred 8 ▼
🐉 main 👻 🖓 1 Branch 🚫 0 Tags	Q Go to file t Add file +	<> Code •	About ध
Ganfirtina Updating the BibTeX entry	eb22438 · 2 years ago	🕓 7 Commits	ApHMM-GPU is the first GPU implementation of the Baum-Welch algorithm for profile Hidden Markov Models (pHMMs). It includes many of the software optimizations as proposed in the ApHMM paper, which is described by Firtina et al. (preliminary version at https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.09765). □ Readme Image: GPL-3.0 license Image: Code of conduct -\u03c6 Code of conduct -\u03c6 Custom properties
src	Initial GPU code for running Apollo using the ApHMM soft	2 years ago	
🖿 test	Initial GPU code for running Apollo using the ApHMM soft	2 years ago	
🖿 utils	Initial GPU code for running Apollo using the ApHMM soft	2 years ago	
🗋 .gitignore	Improving README, Makefile, and adding gitignore	2 years ago	
	Initial GPU code for running Apollo using the ApHMM soft	2 years ago	
🗋 Makefile	Improving README, Makefile, and adding gitignore	2 years ago	
🗋 README.md	Updating the BibTeX entry	2 years ago	
Code_of_conduct.md	Initial GPU code for running Apollo using the ApHMM soft	2 years ago	☆ 8 stars
☐ README	L-3.0 license	∅ :≡	 5 watching 0 forks Report repository

ApHMM: Accelerating Profile Hidden Markov Models for Fast and Energy-Efficient Genome Analysis

Releases

No releases published Create a new release

https://github.com/CMU-SAFARI/ApHMM-GPU





Background & Problem

ApHMM

Evaluation

Conclusion

Conclusion

Goal: Enable rapid, power-efficient, and flexible use of pHMMs for genomics workloads

ApHMM: the first flexible and hardware-software accelerator for pHMMs that can

- 1) Substantially reduce unnecessary data storage, data movement, and computations by effectively co-designing hardware and software together
- 2) Provide a flexible design to support several genomics workloads that use pHMMs

Key Results: Our ASIC implementation compared to CPU, GPU, and FPGA baselines across 3 workloads

- 15.55×-260.03×, 1.83×-5.34×, and 27.97× better performance
- Up to 2622.94× reduction in energy consumption

SAFAR

https://github.com/CMU-SAFARI/ApHMM-GPU

HiPEAC 2024



ApHMM

Accelerating Profile Hidden Markov Models for Fast and Energy-Efficient Genome Analysis

Can Firtina

<u>canfirtina@gmail.com</u> <u>https://cfirtina.com</u>

Kamlesh Pillai, Gurpreet S. Kalsi, Bharathwaj Suresh, Damla Senol Cali, Jeremie S. Kim, Taha Shahroodi, Meryem Banu Cavlak, Joël Lindegger, Mohammed Alser, Juan Gómez Luna, Sreenivas Subramoney, Onur Mutlu



Backup Slides



Why Graphs are Useful

- Accurate comparison requires identifying changes (insertions, deletions, substitutions) between sequences due to
 - Variations between individuals and template sequences
 - Errors in sequences



• How to avoid unnecessary (and costly) comparisons? SAFARI

Filtering – Performance Benefits

• Filtering heuristics aim to reduce unnecessary computations



Motivational Study: ~2.5x performance improvements with filtering



Filtering – Accurate but Costly Sorting

- Software-based filtering heuristics aim to reduce unnecessary computations
 - High-accuracy can be achieved with filtering with correct setting



Filtering takes up ~8.5% of the overall execution time due to sorting

Choosing the Right Amount of Cores

- We analyze maximum number of cores that ApHMM can utilize
 - Before it is bottlenecked by memory bandwidth for genomics applications



ApHMM with 4 cores (ApHMM-4) provides the best overall speedup



HiPEAC 2024



ApHMM

Accelerating Profile Hidden Markov Models for Fast and Energy-Efficient Genome Analysis

Can Firtina

<u>canfirtina@gmail.com</u> <u>https://cfirtina.com</u>

Kamlesh Pillai, Gurpreet S. Kalsi, Bharathwaj Suresh, Damla Senol Cali, Jeremie S. Kim, Taha Shahroodi, Meryem Banu Cavlak, Joël Lindegger, Mohammed Alser, Juan Gómez Luna, Sreenivas Subramoney, Onur Mutlu

