Automatic Control Laboratory ETH Zürich Prof. F. Dörfler D-ITET Winter 2019/2020 1.02.2020

Signals and Systems II 4. Semester, BSc

Solutions

25 Points

Exercise 1

1.

$$m\ddot{x} = F_E \sin(\theta) + F_s \cos(\theta) \tag{1}$$

4 5 2 3 3

 $1 \hspace{0.1in} 2 \hspace{0.1in} 3 \hspace{0.1in} 4 \hspace{0.1in} 5 \hspace{0.1in} 6 \hspace{0.1in} 7$

4 4

$$\ddot{x} = \frac{F_E \sin(\theta) + F_s \cos(\theta)}{m} \tag{2}$$

2.

$$\ddot{z} = (F_E \cos(\theta) - F_s \sin(\theta) - mg)/m \tag{3}$$

3.

$$\ddot{\theta} = J^{-1} l_2 F_s \tag{4}$$

4.

$$\ddot{x} = \frac{F_E \theta + F_s}{m} \tag{5}$$

$$\ddot{z} = \frac{F_E - F_s \theta - mg}{m} \tag{6}$$

$$\ddot{\theta} = \frac{l_2 F_s}{J} \tag{7}$$

5. The physical interpretation is that the rocket is hovering. The solution forces $\theta_e = 0$.

$$F_S = 0, \ F_E = mg, \ \theta_e = 0 \tag{8}$$

The sign of F_E should be consistent with what the student used for the sign of mg. 6.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{v}_{x} \\ \dot{z} \\ \dot{v}_{z} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{v}_{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{v_{x}}{F_{E}\theta + F_{s}} \\ \frac{m_{z}}{v_{z}} \\ \frac{F_{E} - F_{s}\theta - mg}{v_{\theta}} \\ \frac{l_{2}F_{s}}{J} \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

7.

A is nilpotent, in particular A * A = 0. Therefore, $A^n B = \mathbf{0}$ for $n \ge 2$. Therefore, there is no way that the controllability matrix

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} B & AB & A^2B & \cdots & A^5B \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

can be full-rank. Therefore, the system is not controllable.

The correct C matrices are

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(12)

$$C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (13)

 $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{C}_O(A, C_1) = 5$, so it is not observable. $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{C}_O(A, C_2) = 6$, so it is observable.

1	2	3	4	5	Exercise
4	3	3	6	9	25 Points

1. The controllability matrix is given as

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_1 + a_2 \\ 1 & a_3 + a_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The system is controllable when P has full rank. P has full rank when $a_1 + a_2 \neq a_3 + a_4$. Hence, the system is controllable for all values of a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 such that $a_1 + a_2 \neq a_3 + a_4$. The observability matrix is given as

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The system is observable when Q has full rank. Q has full rank when $a_3 \neq 0$. Hence, the system is observable for all values of a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 such that $a_3 \neq 0$.

2. <u>Case 1</u>: Since the system is unobservable there exists no state $[x_1, x_2]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ that is completely observable as x_1 can never be reconstructed from output measurements. Hence the set is empty.

<u>**Case 2:**</u> The state x_2 is observable since it is measured. However, the state x_1 is unobservable since it can never be reconstructed from output measurements.

<u>**Case 3:**</u> The set of unobservable points coincides with the null space of the observability matrix. Substituting the values for a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 yields

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, the set of unobservable points are given as $[x_1, 0]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Hence, the set of observable states are given as $[x_1, x_2]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $x_2 \neq 0$.

3. The set of reachable states is given by the image of the controllability matrix P. Substituting the values for a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 yields

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, $X = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid x_1 = x_2 \right\}.$

4. The matrix A is diagonalizable. Hence, it can be written as

$$A = T\Lambda T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$e^{At} = \begin{bmatrix} e^t & -e^t + e^{2t} \\ 0 & e^{2t} \end{bmatrix}.$$

5. Since the point $[1,1]^{\top}$ is in the set X from the previous problem, then it is possible to design a controller to steer the system from $x(0) = [0,0]^{\top}$ to $x(1) = [1,1]^{\top}$. Note that the solution to the system $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$ at time t = 1 is given by

$$x(1) = e^{At}x(0) + \int_0^1 e^{A(1-\tau)} Bu(\tau) d\tau.$$

Substituting in the values for A, B, x(0) and the matrix exponential from the previous problem yields

$$x(1) = \int_0^1 \begin{bmatrix} e^{1-\tau} & -e^{1-\tau} + e^{2-2\tau} \\ 0 & e^{2-2\tau} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^1 \begin{bmatrix} e^{2-2\tau} \\ e^{2-2\tau} \end{bmatrix} u(\tau) d\tau.$$

Setting $u(t) = e^{-2+2t}$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ yields $x(1) = [1, 1]^{\top}$.

1	2	3	4	5	6	Exercise
4	5	4	4	5	3	25 Points

1. To find the equilibria, we need to impose

$$rx - x^3 = 0,$$

that results in two solutions: x = 0 and $x^2 = r$.

 $\underline{r < 0}$: In this case, the only possible equilibrium is x = 0, because all equilibria must be real valued.

 $\underline{r=0}$: Also in this case the only equilibrium is x = 0.

r > 0: There are three equilibrium points, that is x = 0 and $x = \pm \sqrt{r}$

2. The linearized dynamics around the point \bar{x} is

$$\dot{x} = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right]_{x=\bar{x}} x = (r - 3\bar{x}^2) x.$$

 $\underline{r < 0}$: The linearized dynamics around x = 0 is $\dot{x} = rx$. Therefore, we can conclude that x = 0 is an asymptotically stable equilibrium.

<u>r=0</u>: The linearized dynamics around x = 0 is $\dot{x} = 0$. Nothing can be concluded by means of the linearization method.

 $\underline{r > 0}$: The linearized dynamics around x = 0 is $\dot{x} = rx$, so in this case x = 0 is an unstable equilibrium. On the other hand, the linearized dynamics around $x = \sqrt{r}$ is $\dot{x} = -2rx$, and therefore $x = \sqrt{r}$ is an asymptotically stable equilibrium. The same conclusion holds for $x = -\sqrt{r}$.

3. Consider the following quadratic candidate Lyapunov function

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 > 0 \quad \forall x \neq 0.$$
 (14)

The Lie derivative of (14) along system trajectories is

$$\dot{V}(x) = x\dot{x} = rx^2 - x^4,$$

that, for r = 0, becomes $\dot{V}(x) = -x^4$, which is negative definite in x = 0. Therefore, we conclude that x = 0 is an asymptotically stable equilibrium.

4. $\underline{r < 0}$: We can use again the positive definite Lyapunov function (14). Since $||x|| \to \infty \Rightarrow V(x) \to \infty$, function (14) is radially unbounded. Moreover, $\dot{V}(x) = rx^2 - x^4 < 0 \ \forall x \neq 0$, that allows us to conclude that x = 0 is a globally asymptotically stable equilibrium.

<u>r=0</u>: With a similar discussion, it is easy to show that x = 0 is a globally asymptotically stable equilibrium.

<u>r > 0</u>: In this case, the asymptotically stable equilibria $x = \pm \sqrt{r}$ cannot be globally asymptotically stable. In fact, the presence of multiple attractors (or repulsors) clearly does not permit the existence of a globally stable equilibrium.

5. Pitchfork bifurcation. Solid lines represent stable equilibria, while dashed lines unstable equilibria. Arrows shows system trajectories for some fixed r < 0, r = 0 and r > 0.



1	2	3	4	5	Exercise
3	6	6	4	6	25 Points

1. The transfer function is given by $G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B$ (1 pt.), for the system Σ_1 this results in

$$G_{1}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s+2 & 5 \\ -1 & s-a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(s+2)(s-a)+5} \begin{bmatrix} s-a & -5 \\ 1 & s+2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$= \frac{1}{(s+2)(s-a)+5}, \qquad (2 \text{ pts.})$$

$$= \frac{1}{s^{2}+(2-a)s+5-2a}.$$

2. The natural frequency $\omega_n = \sqrt{5-2a}$ (1 pt.) and the damping factor $\zeta = \frac{2-a}{2\sqrt{5-2a}}$ (1 pt.). The range of *a* for critically damped

$$\zeta = \frac{2-a}{2\sqrt{5-2a}} = 1 \quad (1 \text{ pt.})$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 - 4a + 4 = 20 - 8a$$

$$\Rightarrow a = -2 \pm \sqrt{20}. \quad (1 \text{ pt.})$$

For a = 2, G(s) has a pole at $s = \pm j\omega$ which means that for $y_1(t)$ is unbounded for $u_1(t) = 3\sin(t)$ (2 pts.).

3. The closed loop transfer function is given by

$$\frac{KG_1(s)}{1+KG_1(s)} = \frac{K}{s^2 + 12s + 25 + K}.$$

The poles of this transfer function are given by $-6 \pm \sqrt{11-K}$. The poles have negative real part when K > -25.

- 4. The Laplace transform of $y_0(t)$ is $Y_0(s) = \frac{1}{s^2}(1 \text{ pt.})$, hence, $F(s) = \frac{1}{s}(1 \text{ pt.})$ (if they actually show how to compute $Y_0(s)$ they get (2 pts.)).
- 5. The signal $y_b(t)$ can be written as

$$y_b(t) = y_0(t) - 2y_0(t-1) + y_0(t-2)$$
 (3 pts.).

Using the time-shift property of the Laplace transform we can write $Y_b(s)$ as

$$Y_b(s) = rac{1 - 2e^{-s} + e^{-2s}}{s^2}$$
 (3 pts.).

Using: $\mathcal{L}\{y_0(t-c)u_0(t-c)\} = e^{-cs}Y_0(s)$