# Signal and System Theory II 4. Semester, BSc 

## Solutions

## Exercise 1

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Exercise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 25 Points |

1. We first write down the equations for voltage and current for the capacitors and the inductor

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{C_{1}}(t)=C_{1} \frac{d}{d t} v_{C_{1}}(t), \quad i_{C_{2}}(t)=C_{2} \frac{d}{d t} v_{C_{2}}(t), \quad v_{L}(t)=L \frac{d}{d t} i_{L}(t) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these equations and the relationships

$$
i_{R}(t)=\frac{v_{C_{2}}(t)}{R}, \quad i_{L}(t)=i_{C_{2}}(t)+i_{R}(t), \quad V_{\text {in }}(t)=v_{C_{1}}(t)+v_{C_{2}}(t)+v_{L}(t)
$$

we can write down equations for the derivatives of $v_{C_{1}}, v_{C_{2}}$ and $i_{L}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} i_{L}(t) & =\frac{1}{L} v_{L}(t)=\frac{1}{L}\left[V_{\text {in }}(t)-v_{C_{1}}(t)-v_{C_{2}}(t)\right],  \tag{2a}\\
\frac{d}{d t} v_{C_{1}}(t) & =\frac{1}{C_{1}} i_{C_{1}}(t)=\frac{1}{C_{1}} i_{L}(t),  \tag{2b}\\
\frac{d}{d t} v_{C_{2}}(t) & =\frac{1}{C_{2}} i_{C_{2}}(t)=\frac{1}{C_{2}}\left[i_{L}(t)-\frac{v_{C_{2}}(t)}{R}\right], \tag{2c}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads to the state-space equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} x(t) & =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\frac{1}{L} & -\frac{1}{L} \\
\frac{1}{C_{1}} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{C_{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{R C_{2}}
\end{array}\right] x(t)+\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{L} \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] u(t)  \tag{3a}\\
y(t) & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] x(t) \tag{3b}
\end{align*}
$$

2. We first note that the characteristic polynomial for 3 eigenvalues at -1 is written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
(\lambda+1)^{3} & =(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{2}+2 \lambda+1\right) \\
& =\lambda^{3}+2 \lambda^{2}+\lambda+\lambda^{2}+2 \lambda+1  \tag{4}\\
& =\lambda^{3}+3 \lambda^{2}+3 \lambda+1
\end{align*}
$$

We can then compute

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det}(\lambda I-(A-K C))=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda & 1 & 1+k_{1} \\
-1 & \lambda & k_{2} \\
-1 & 0 & \lambda+1+k_{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\lambda\left(\lambda\left(\lambda+1+k_{3}\right)\right)-\left((-1)\left(\lambda+1+k_{3}\right)+k_{2}\right)+\left(1+k_{1}\right)(\lambda)  \tag{5}\\
& =\lambda^{3}+\left(1+k_{3}\right) \lambda^{2}+\lambda+1+k_{3}-k_{2}+\lambda+k_{1} \lambda \\
& =\lambda^{3}+\left(1+k_{3}\right) \lambda^{2}+\left(2+k_{1}\right) \lambda+\left(1+k_{3}-k_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

hence comparing (4) and (5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=1, \quad{\underset{2}{2}}_{k_{2}=2, \quad k_{3}=2 .} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. We compute the observability and controllability matrices:

$$
\begin{gather*}
P=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B & A B & A^{2} B
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -2 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & -2 \\
1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]  \tag{7}\\
Q=\left[\begin{array}{c}
C \\
C A \\
C A^{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

While $P$ has full rank, $Q$ is rank-deficient. This means the system is controllable, but not observable.
4. To determine whether the system is detectable, we need to look at the rank of

$$
M_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
C \\
\lambda_{i} I-A
\end{array}\right]
$$

for each eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$ of $A$. If $\operatorname{rank}\left(M_{i}\right)=3$ for all non-negative eigenvalues of $A$, the system is detectable. We have $\lambda_{i}= \pm i$ here, so we check both cases. For $\lambda= \pm i$, we have

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left[\begin{array}{c}
C  \tag{9}\\
\lambda I-A
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\pm i & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & \pm i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \pm i+1
\end{array}\right]=2
$$

which means the system is not detectable.

## Exercise 2

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Exercise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 25 Points |

1. The eigenvalues are the roots of the characteristic polynomial $\lambda I-A$, whose determinant is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}|\lambda I-A| & =\operatorname{det}\left|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda-\sigma & -\omega \\
\omega & \lambda-\sigma
\end{array}\right]\right|  \tag{10}\\
& =(\lambda-\sigma)^{2}+\omega^{2} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

This gives us $\lambda_{1}=\sigma+j \omega$ and $\lambda_{2}=\sigma-j \omega$.
Let $V=\left[\begin{array}{ll}v_{1} & v_{2}\end{array}\right]$, where $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are the eigenvectors. Then, these can be computed by solving $\lambda_{i} v_{i}=A v_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Thus, we obtain

$$
V=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
j & -j
\end{array}\right]
$$

2. If $\Phi$ is the state transition matrix, it suffices to show that $\frac{d \Phi}{d t}=A \Phi$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \Phi}{d t} & =\sigma \Phi+\exp (\sigma t)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\omega \sin (\omega t) & \omega \cos (\omega t) \\
-\omega \cos (\omega t) & -\omega \sin (\omega t)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\exp (\sigma t)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma \cos (\omega t)-\omega \sin (\omega t) & \sigma \sin (\omega t)+\omega \cos (\omega t) \\
-\sigma \sin (\omega t)-\omega \cos (\omega t) & \sigma \cos (\omega t)-\omega \sin (\omega t)
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Also note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \Phi & =\exp (\sigma t)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma & \omega \\
-\omega & \sigma
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos (\omega t) & \sin (\omega t) \\
-\sin (\omega t) & \cos (\omega t)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\exp (\sigma t)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma \cos (\omega t)-\omega \sin (\omega t) & \sigma \sin (\omega t)+\omega \cos (\omega t) \\
-\sigma \sin (\omega t)-\omega \cos (\omega t) & \sigma \cos (\omega t)-\omega \sin (\omega t)
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we conclude that the state transition matrix is indeed given by $\Phi$.
3. The system is asymptotically stable when $\sigma<0$ and stable when $\sigma \leq 0$.
4. The controllability matrix for this system is given by $\left[\begin{array}{ll}B & A B\end{array}\right]$. Computing its determinant, we get

$$
\operatorname{det}\left|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & \sigma b_{1}+\omega b_{2} \\
b_{2} & -\omega b_{1}+\sigma b_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right|=-\omega\left(b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

For $\omega=0$, the system is uncontrollable for any $B$. For $\omega \neq 0$, the system is controllable as long as $B \neq 0$.
5. When $\omega \neq 0$, the system has been shown to be controllable. Thus, all complex conjugate pairs of eigenvalues can be obtained.
When $\omega=0$, the characteristic polynomial corresponding to $A_{C L}=A-B K$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left|\lambda_{C L} I-A_{C L}\right| & =\operatorname{det}\left|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{C L}-\sigma & -\omega \\
\omega-k_{1} & \lambda_{C L}-\sigma-k_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right| \\
& =\left(\lambda_{C L}-\sigma\right)^{2}-k_{2}\left(\lambda_{C L}-\sigma\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we set $\omega=0$ to obtain the last equality. Solving for the roots, we get $\lambda_{C L}=\left\{\sigma+k_{2}, \sigma\right\}$. Thus, one of the closed-loop eigenvalues remains at $\sigma$ for any $K$, which explains why the system is uncontrollable when $\omega=0$. The second eigenvalue can be placed anywhere by appropriately choosing $k_{2}$. The value of $k_{1}$ is immaterial.

## Exercise 3

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Exercise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 25 Points |

1. The system is linear if $g$ and $h$ are linear functions, it is nonlinear otherwise ( $\mathbf{1}$ point). It is always autonomous ( $\mathbf{1}$ point) and time invariant ( $\mathbf{1}$ point).
2. The system is at an equilibrium point when $\dot{x}=\dot{y}=0$ ( $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ point). Note that ( $\mathbf{1}$ point)

$$
|g(0)| \leq 0 \text { and }|h(0)| \leq 0 \Rightarrow g(0)=h(0)=0 .
$$

Consequently, if $x=y=0$ then ( 0.5 point)

$$
\dot{x}=-0+g(0)=0 \text { and } \dot{y}=-0+h(0)=0
$$

implying that $(0,0)$ is an equilibrium point.
3. (a) By problem definition $g$ and $h$ are continuously differentiable therefore the limit in zero exists ( 0.5 point) and can be computed as ( 0.5 point)

$$
h^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{h(\varepsilon)-h(0)}{\varepsilon}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{h(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}
$$

Note that

$$
|h(\varepsilon)| \leq \frac{|\varepsilon|}{2}=\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq h(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow-\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{h(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{2} \text { (1 point) }
$$

Since the relation above holds for all $\varepsilon>0$ we have $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{h(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1}$ point), hence $\left|h^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Same holds for $g$.
(b) From $(|x|-|y|)^{2} \geq 0$ we get

$$
x^{2}+y^{2}-2|x y| \geq 0 \Rightarrow x^{2}+y^{2} \geq 2|x y| .
$$

(2 point)
4. The matrix defining the linearized system is

$$
A(x, y):=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & g^{\prime}(y) \\
h^{\prime}(x) & -1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Computing $A(0,0)$ yields

$$
A(0,0):=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & g^{\prime}(0) \\
h^{\prime}(0) & -1
\end{array}\right], \quad(2 \text { points: } 0.5 \text { each })
$$

the eigenvalues are the roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$
(s+1)^{2}-g^{\prime}(0) h^{\prime}(0)=s^{2}+2 s+1-g^{\prime}(0) h^{\prime}(0),
$$

which are

$$
\lambda_{1,2}=-1 \pm \sqrt{1-1+g^{\prime}(0) h^{\prime}(0)}=-1 \pm \sqrt{g^{\prime}(0) h^{\prime}(0)}(\mathbf{2} \text { points: } 1 \text { each })
$$

We distinguish two cases:

- if $g^{\prime}(0) h^{\prime}(0)<0$ the eigenvalues are imaginary with real part -1 . (1 point)
- If $g^{\prime}(0) h^{\prime}(0) \geq 0$ then $0 \leq g^{\prime}(0) h^{\prime}(0)=\left|g^{\prime}(0) \| h^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{4}$. Hence $\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{1,2}\right) \leq-\frac{3}{4}<0$. (1 point)

Hence in both case the real part of the eigenvalues is negative ( 0.5 point) and the equilibrium point at the origin is locally asymptotically stable ( 0.5 point).
5. Consider the function $V(x, y)=\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{2}$.

- $V(x, y)>0$ for all $(x, y) \neq(0,0)$ ( $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ point) and $V(0,0)=0$ ( 0.5 point).
- The Lie derivative of $V(x, y)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}(x, y) & =\frac{\partial V(x, y)}{\partial x} \dot{x}+\frac{\partial V(x, y)}{\partial y} \dot{y} \text { (0.5 point) } \\
& =x(-x+g(y))+y(-y+h(x))=-x^{2}-y^{2}+x g(y)+y h(x)(\mathbf{0 . 5} \text { point }) \\
& \leq-x^{2}-y^{2}+|x||g(y)|+|y||h(x)| \leq-x^{2}-y^{2}+|x| \frac{|y|}{2}+|y| \frac{|x|}{2} \\
& \leq-x^{2}-y^{2}+|x y|(\mathbf{1} \text { point }) \leq-x^{2}-y^{2}+\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{2} \\
& =-\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{2}(\mathbf{1} \text { point })<0, \quad \forall(x, y) \neq(0,0)(\mathbf{1} \text { point })
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by Theorem 7.2 ( $\mathbf{1}$ point) the equilibrium point $(0,0)$ is globally ( $\mathbf{1}$ point) asymptotically stable (1 point).

## Exercise 4

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Exercise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 25 Points |

1. The system matrix of the feedforward stage is triangular, which means that the eigenvalues are the entries on the diagonal $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=0<1$ (1 point). Therefore, the feedforward stage is asymptotically stable for all possible parameter choices ( $\mathbf{1}$ point). To check observability, we compute the observability matrix:

$$
\mathcal{O}=\binom{C_{1}}{C_{1} A_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{2} & b_{1} \\
0 & b_{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { (1 point) }
$$

It is easy to see that this matrix has full rank if and only if $b_{2} \neq 0$ ( 1 point).
2. First, compute the eigenvalues of the system matrix:

$$
\operatorname{det}(\lambda I-A)=\lambda^{2}-a_{2} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_{1,2}= \pm \sqrt{a_{2}} \quad(\mathbf{1} \text { point })
$$

Therefore, the system is asymptotically stable if and only if $\left|a_{2}\right|<1$ (1 point). To check observability, we compute the observability matrix:

$$
\mathcal{O}=\binom{C_{2}}{C_{2} A_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & a_{2} \\
a_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { (1 point) }
$$

It is easy to see that this matrix has full rank if and only if $a_{2} \neq 0$ ( $\mathbf{1}$ point).
3. Using the state $\xi(k):=\left(x(k)^{\top} z(k)^{\top}\right)^{\top}$, the system matrices of the complete system are given as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi(k+1) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{2} & B_{2} C_{1} \\
0 & A_{1}
\end{array}\right) \xi(k)+\binom{B_{2} D_{1}}{B_{1}} u(k) \\
y(k) & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
C_{2} & \left.D_{2} C_{1}\right) \xi(k)+D_{1} D_{2} u(k) \quad(1 \text { point per matrix })
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and filling in the parameters, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi(k+1) & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & a_{2} & b_{2} & b_{1} \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \xi(k)+\left(\begin{array}{c}
b_{0} \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right) u(k) \\
y(k) & =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & a_{2} & b_{2} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right) \xi(k)+\left(b_{0}\right) u(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

(1 point per matrix)
If another approach is chosen, the 4 points for terms in the first equation can be granted for similar intermediate results.
4. The complete system is given as a concatenation of two systems (1 point) and therefore, it is asymptotically stable if and only if the two subsystems are asymptotically stable ( $\mathbf{1}$ point). Here, this means that the system is asymptotically stable if
and only if $\left|a_{2}\right|<1$ (1 point) (using the optimality condition for the feedback stage derived earlier). Alternatively, one can compute $\operatorname{det}(\lambda I-A)$ directly, which is easy if the appropriate row is expanded. In this case, up to two points can be awarded for intermediate results and 1 point for the correct end result.
5. The $z$-transform of the difference equations is given as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y(z)-a_{1} z^{-1} Y(z)-a_{2} z^{-2} Y(z)=b_{0} U(z)+b_{1} z^{-1} U(z)+b_{2} z^{-2} U(z) \\
& \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow G(z):=\frac{Y(z)}{U(z)}=\frac{b_{0} z^{2}+b_{1} z+b_{2}}{z^{2}-a_{1} z-a_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Both the numerator and the denominator of $G(z)$ are of order two, thus the original difference equation describes a second order system. It follows that a minimal state space realization has two states. ( 1 point for correct answer, 2 points for justification)
6. The state space realization uses four states to implement a second order system (1 point). Therefore, there must be either uncontrollable or unobservable modes (1 point). If the system is controllable, it follows immediately that it is not observable (1 point).

