

Reassessment of flood risk for DMB project with high resolution 2D model

BASEMENT User Meeting 2023

Quentin Theiler / 26.01.23

Table of contents

- 1. Context
- 2. Initial 2D Model
- 3. Update of the model
- 4. Extension with runoff model (rain runoff)
- 5. Constraints
- 6. Results
- 7. My 10 learnings

City of Delémont





3

Flood of 2007

- Flood of August 2007
- Return period < 100 years
- Damages: > 10 millions CHF





«Delémont low tide»



Projet DMB

sd ingénierie

Actual damages: potential of 120 millions CHF Budget: 15 millions CHF Construction: 2012 - 2024





Projet DMB

sd ingénierie

Challenges:

- Collect all the data to have a quality control after the partial construction
- Create a real hazard map (state 2022 and final) and suggest optimization
- Integrate the surface runoff (stream Voirnet from the South of Delémont)



Global study by BG, Pepi Natale

Extend DMB for the South of Delémont

Challenges:

- Collect all the data to have a quality control after the partial construction
- Create a real hazard map (state 2022 and final) and suggest optimization
- Integrate the surface runoff (stream Voirnet from the South of Delémont)





Drone flight \rightarrow DEM \rightarrow Mesh \rightarrow initial 2D model

3. Update

4. Runoff 5. Constraints 6. Results





2. Initial

 Treatment of raw data (vegetation, building, etc.)

1. Context

• Combining DEM

Contents:

 Create a mesh with small resolution (up to 0.2m) and considering the wall effect of the buildings



7. Learnings





Boundary conditions



- 2 inflows (Sorne, Birse)
- 3 outflows (river, road, path)
- 16 bridges (h-q relation)
- 1 lateral weir calibrated with physical model (LCH)
- 9 materials index
- Nonstationary flood of 90hours
- model.json = 436 lines of text
- 1 week of calculation with Basement v3.2.0



-Bedelevation 2D model

Boundary conditions: weir



-Bedelevation100



-WSE Q100 max

Boundary conditions: weir









Weir

Boundary conditions: bridges

Berücksichtigung von Brücken und des Sihldurchlasses im 2D-Überflutungsmodell in der Stadt Zürich

Lukas Vonwiller, Michel Kuhlmann, Mattias Deller, Steffen Corbe, Matthias Oplatka, Marc Hauser



Brücke. Für breitkronige Wehre beträgt der Überfallbeiwert $\mu = 0.58$ (Bollrich, 2000). Für Strassenbrücken werden in der Literatur Überfallbeiwerte zwischen 0,47 und 0,54 angegeben (USDA, 2012). Im vorliegenden Projekt wird für alle Brücken µ=0,50 verwendet.

sd ingénierie

Werten ausgegangen werden muss. Gemäss USDA (2012) liegen die Ausflussbeiwerte in den meisten Fällen zwischen 0.38 und 0.50, im Falle von schlechten Bedingungen (sehr hohe Turbulenzen) jedoch zwischen 0,22 und 0,28. Im vorliegenden Projekt werden die Abflussbeiwerte für das Schütz konservativ mit µ_A=0,3 berücksichtigt.

Boundary conditions: bridge





Backwater effect from the Birse river





sd ingénierie

Update of the model: DEM & mesh



1'590'725 elements

Extension for the runoff model

4'230'366 elements

Update of the model: DEM & mesh

- Integration of the capacity of 7 structures and GPS survey of the Voirnet (build of 3D model of the stream)
- Total material index: 15
- Capacity of the structure simplified with Excel:
 - 0 to xx m³/s (85% full) uniform open flow
 - xx to yy m³/s in charge

Extension with runoff model: Data from MeteoSwiss

AND NATURAL HAZARD SIMULATION

SYSTEM MANUALS

Source (water volume)

- Type: total (as discharge, m3/s), distributed (as rain, mm/h)
 Sink behaviors:
 - Exact (as prescribed)
 - Available (as prescribed or less)
 - Infinity (as much as possible)
- Statisical analysis of the station of Meteoswiss in Delémont and Fahy with extrapolation for rain of 1hour, 2 hours, 6 hours, 12 hours, 16 hours and 1 day for a return period of 30years, 100 years and 300 years.
- For 2 hours of rain, used the rain distribution of overland flow map (FOEN).
- For 16 hours, a uniform rain.

	Meteoswiss		Hydrological atlas		
	in 16h	in 2h	24h	1h	
30	85.0	45.0	99.0	43.0	
100	110.0	58.0	123.0	58.0	
300	139.0	72.0			

■ DEM_2013: TR 11 ■ DEM_2015: TR 14 ■ Q100 longue CR1 ■ Q30 longue CR1

Runoff calibration (1)

	Overland flow map (FOEN)	sd ingénierie		
ſR	100	100	100	100
Duration	1h	1h	2h	16h
Mm/h	<mark>60mm/h</mark>	<mark>46.7mm/h</mark>	58mm/2h 29mm/h	110mm/16h 6.9mm/h
Runoff coefficient	Consolidated land, rock 1 Agricultural land 0.45 Marsh, reed bed 0.45 Garden house, glacier 0.5 Forest, scree 0.35		Roofing 0.9 Roads 0.7-0.9 Rocks 0.7 Fields 0.2 Woodland 0.1	
Correction	Max + or - 0.1 depending on the flow delay (flow availability)	-	-	-
Correction2	If slope <25% no correction	-	-	-
Minimal depth	> 0.015m		> 0.01m	> 0.01m

no consideration of soil saturation

- Modification of the runoff coefficient according to the saturation of the soil. The runoff coefficient will be different according to the soil but also depending on the intensity of the rain (experience from flood in Cressier for example, Hydrique).
- The longer the rain will last and the more the soil will be saturated.
- First definition of a global value for short rain and long rain: calibration of the value according to the partial watershed of the Sorne.

Runoff calibration (2)

Summary map of infiltration possibilities (MFR, 2007)

- We have defined 6 runoff coefficient = f(soil type, rain intensity): 0.2 / 0.3 / 0.4 / 0.7 / 0.8 / 0.95 based on calibration of the FOEN station and rain data from MeteoSwiss.
- $Q100_{16h} = f(Int, CR) = f(6.88mm/h, 0.8) = 1.79m^3/s$
- $Q100_{2h} = f(Int, CR) = f(65.08mm/h, 0.3) = 6.37m^3/s$

	<u>Voirnet</u>						
	Hazard map (Hakesh)	sdi 2h	sdi 2h	sdi 16h			
surface km2	1.02						
Runoff coefficient	/	0.09	0.30	0.80			
Q30 [m³/s]	3.3	1.48	4.94	1.39			
Q100 [m ³ /s]	3.9	1.91	6.37	1.79			
Q300 [m ³ /s]	5.85						
Qext [m ³ /s]	7.8						

Saturated soil when raining (~wetland)

With inflow (nonstationary hydrograph) of the Sorne river + the Birse river

With rain source (uniform rain corrected by runoff coefficient) for the South of Delémont (stream Voirnet)

A) Results with hydrograph: actual state

sd ingénierie

Q100 = 110 m³/s

A) Results with hydrograph: final state

Q200 = 135 m³/s

A) Results with hydrograph: final state

With GIS treatment

Raw data (elements)

sd ingénierie

Final map (polygons)

sd ingénierie

B) Results with runoff (rain consideration)

C) All together

My 10 learnings

- I. Methodology is important
- II. Methodology is important
- III. Methodology is important
- *IV. Methodology is important*
- V. Methodology is important
- VI. Methodology is important
- VII. Methodology is important
- VIII. Methodology is important
- IX. Methodology is important
- X. Methodology is important

- When you store the data
- When you update the DEM (around 20 times) and the mesh
- When you add a new scenario
- When you switch from one software to another one

Thanks for your attention

special thanks for all the engineers who were collaborative,

PEPI NATALE SA

HUNZIKEBETATECH

thanks to our client,

Service de l'urbanisme, de l'environnement et des travaux publics (UETP)

and thanks to VAW for the excellent software

Versuchsanstalt für Wasserbau, Hydrologie und Glaziologie