



Morphodynamic modelling of complex river morphologies based on the results of the physical model of the Alpine Rhine

**Gabriel Zehnder**, Florian Hinkelammert-Zens<sup>1</sup> BASEMENT User Meeting 2024

<sup>1</sup> Hunziker, Zarn & Partner AG

### Alpine Rhine flood protection project: International reach km 65 to km 91



Quelle: IRKA





Quelle: www.rhesi.org

## Perimeter



Numerical 2D simulations of the Alpine Rhine

- Hydraulic simulations of the whole reach (26 km) : definition of the boundary conditions
- Morphodynamic simulations: reproduce riverbed changes → forecast
  ! Wide range of river width and morphology !



Basement v3.1

## Physical model 1:50

braided riverbed (b  $\approx$  360 m)









# **Morphodynamic Simulations**



## Overview of the morphodynamic simulations

Main goal: reproduce the bed elevation changes of an experiment from the physical model

Calibration:



- 1 experiment
- bed forming discharge of HQ<sub>5</sub>
- define all the parameters

Validation:



- 3 experiments
- $HQ_{300}$  in the two different reaches
- annual hydrograph with Q <  $HQ_2$
- validate the defined parameter

evaluation criteria:

- sediment balance
- development of the mean bed elevation
- deposition and erosion areas and heights



## Model setup – BASEMENT v3.1

- Upstream and downstream boundaries  $\rightarrow$  same as in the physical model
- <u>Uniform bed material</u> (d<sub>m</sub>)
- Mobile bed: 6 m
- Investigated Parameter
  - Curvature effect (Engelund 1974)
  - Bed load direction due to lateral bed slope (Talmon et al. 1995)
  - Gravitational bank collapse angle
  - Pre-factor of bedload formula
  - Flat initial bed vs. initial morphology
  - Grid resolution
  - Downstream boundary height (IODown)

## Calibration - mesh

- Mobile bed
- Fixed groynes
- fixed bed stabilisation «Rollierung»
- Additional inflow and outflow sections



# elements 270'000 min. area 0.42 m<sup>2</sup> max. area 10 or 100 m<sup>2</sup>

RTS ≈ 5

## Calibration – grid resolution

≈ 20 cells per cross section max. area 100 m<sup>2,</sup> 120'000 elements

≈ 100 cells per cross section max. area 10 m<sup>2,</sup> 270'000 elements



→ General morphology is independent of the gridresolution

### Calibration - downstream boundary



## Calibration - final parameter set





**ETH** zürich

d)

3

## Validation – $HQ_{300}$



physical model

numerical model

## Validation – $HQ_{300}$



### physical model



## Validation – hydrograph 2011



## Discussion

### Calibration



alternating bars

alternating bars

braided morphology

Validation



braided morphology

HQ5 short pre-stress HQ300 long pre-stress HQ300 fully developed morphology 2011 partly developed morphology

- + areas of erosion and deposition (except with low discharge)
- + good sediment balance
- + development of the mean bed elevation
- local processes and scours better resolved in physical model

### Model limitations:

- $\rightarrow$  Armoring and heterogeneity in the physical model vs. uniform grainsize in the numerical model
- $\rightarrow$  Depth-averaged 2D-model

## **ETH** zürich

Gabriel Zehnder Projektingenieur zehnder@vaw.baug.ethz.ch

ETH Zürich Versuchsanstalt für Wasserbau, Hydrologie und Glaziologie (VAW) Hönggerbergring 26 8093 Zürich

www.vaw.ethz.ch

### im Auftrag

